INSIDE: INVESTIGATING THE PALEEOECOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES OF SUPERCONTINENT BREAKUP: SPONGES CLEAN UP IN THE EARLY JURASSIC
PLUS: PRESIDENT’S COMMENTS, UPCOMING SEPM CONFERENCES
The Sedimentary Record

SEPM BOOKSTORE

Special Publication #105

Deposits, Architecture, and Controls of Carbonate Margin, Slope, and Basinal Settings
Edited by: Klaas Verwer, Ted E. Playton, and Paul M. (Mitch) Harris

Carbonate margin, slope and basinal depositional environments, and their transitions, are highly dynamic and heterogeneous components of carbonate platform systems. Carbonate slopes are of particular interest because they form repositories for volumetrically significant amounts of sediment produced from nearly all carbonate environments, and form the links between shallow-water carbonate platform settings where prevailing in situ factories reside and their equivalent deeper-water settings dominated by reworking processes. Slope environments also provide an extensive stratigraphic record that, although is preserved differently than platform-top or basinal strata, can be utilized to unravel the evolution of sedimentary facies, and to evaluate the lithologic descriptions of countless reports around the globe; paying careful attention to those lithologies that have climatic implications. The introduction to this volume includes a detailed discussion of the lithologies, mineralogies and biogeographies that are considered to be the most reliable in identifying the climatic conditions existing during their formation and how they are used or not used in this compilation. Global paleoclimatic zones based on the climatically interpreted data points are identified during twenty-eight time periods from Cambrian to Miocene using paleotectonic reconstructed maps. The sixteen papers in this Special Publication include conceptual works, subsurface and outcrop studies, and are grouped into sections on conceptual works or syntheses, margin to basin development and controlling factors, architecture and controls on carbonate margins, and carbonate distal slope and basin floor development.

Articles for this volume are available for purchase at the SEPM Online First webpage! http://www.sepm.org/OnlineFirst.aspx
Catalog #40105 • List Price, Print/CD: $100.00/$80.00 • Member Price, Print/CD: $60.00/$48.00

Concepts in Sedimentology and Paleontology 11

Phanerozoic Paleoclimate: An Atlas of Lithologic Indicators of Climate
By: Arthur J. Boucot, Chen Xu, and Christopher R. Sciotese, with contributions by Robert J. Morley

This publication combines the interpretations of two major sets of data. One is the geophysical data that is used to interpret the position of the tectonic plates through geologic time. The other is based on a long time search of the geological literature to find, record, and evaluate the lithologic descriptions of countless reports around the globe, paying careful attention to those lithologies that have climatic implications. The introduction to this volume includes a detailed discussion of the lithologies, mineralogies and biogeographies that are considered to be the most reliable in identifying the climatic conditions existing during their formation and how they are used or not used in this compilation. Global paleoclimatic zones based on the climatically interpreted data points are identified during twenty-eight time periods from Cambrian to Miocene using paleotectonic reconstructed maps. The paleoclimate of each time period is summarized and includes a discussion of the specific referenced data points that have been interpreted to be the most reliable available for that time period and location.

Catalog #86011 • CD ROM • List Price $100.00 • SEPM Member Price $60.00
Catalog #85011 • Print on Demand • List Price $125.00 • SEPM Member Price $75.00

Concepts in Sedimentology and Paleontology 12

Mudstone Primer: Lithofacies Variations, Diagnostic Criteria, and Sedimentologic–Stratigraphic Implications at Lamina to Bedset Scales
By: Remus Lazar, Kevin M. Bohacs, Juergen Schieber, Joe Macquaker, and Timothy Demko

More than two-thirds of the sedimentary record is composed of rocks dominated by grains smaller than 62.5 micrometers. These fine-grained sedimentary rocks serve as sources, reservoirs, and seals of hydrocarbons, influence the flow of groundwater, and can be rich in metals. These rocks have long been mined for clues into the past global carbon, oxygen, sulfur, and silica cycles, and associated climate and oceanography. These rocks are heterogeneous at many scales and formed via a range of depositional processes. Recent developments in drilling and completion technologies have unlocked significant hydrocarbon reserves in fine-grained sedimentary rocks and have triggered an explosion of interest in the sedimentology, stratigraphy, and diagenesis of these rocks. This Mudstone Primer covers this variability to better characterization and interpretation of mudstones. Definitions of key terms and a naming scheme for mudstones are provided followed with practical steps for studying mudstones in thin sections. Additional guidelines and a set of tools that facilitate consistent, repeatable, and efficient (time wise) description and capture of mudstone variability at thin section, core, and outcrop scale are included in seven appendices. This Mudstone Primer includes hundreds of Paleozoic to Tertiary examples of physical, biological, and chemical features that illustrate mudstone heterogeneity at lamina to bedset scales. The authors hope that individual workers will take the provided examples and interpretations and use them to enhance their own investigation strategies.

Catalog #85012 • Spiral bound copy • SEPM Member Price: $57.00
**CONTENTS**

4  Investigating the Paleoecological Consequences of Supercontinent Breakup: Sponges Clean Up in the Early Jurassic

11  President’s Comments

14  Science Medalists for 2016

15  Upcoming Research Conferences

16  Publish with SEPM
The Sedimentary Record
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ABSTRACT
The continued release of fossil fuel carbon into the atmosphere today means it is imperative to understand Earth system response to CO2 rise, and the geologic record offers unique opportunities to investigate such behavior. Stomatatal and paleosol proxies demonstrate a large change in atmospheric pCO2 across the Triassic-Jurassic (T-J) transition, concomitant with the eruption and emplacement of the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province (CAMP) and the splitting of Pangea. As one of the “big 5” mass extinctions—when the so-called modern fauna was particularly hard hit—we know the biosphere was severely affected during this time, but the details are relatively poorly understood, particularly with respect to an Earth system perspective. As part of the NSF Earth Life Transitions initiative, our team has targeted the T-J for integrative investigation to explore, among other things, alternative ecological states that may exist in the aftermath of mass extinctions. The initial findings reveal a global “sponge takeover” in the Early Jurassic following the extinction that lasted nearly 2 million years. The sponge takeover may be linked to an unusual confluence of factors, including potential ocean acidification and intense silicate weathering following the emplacement of CAMP.

INTRODUCTION
The Triassic-Jurassic (T-J) interval represents a slice of deep time when the Earth experienced a rapid rise in pCO2 (e.g., McElwain et al., 1999; Beerling and Berner, 2002; Berner and Beerling, 2007; Steinhorsdottir et al., 2012; Schaller et al., 2011, 2012) resulting from the initial splitting of the supercontinent Pangea and the emplacement of the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province (CAMP), one of the largest igneous provinces in Earth’s history (e.g., Marzoli et al., 1999; McHone, 2002; Nomade et al., 2007) (Figure 1). Estimates of eruption rates vary, but CO2 input could have been on the order of ~13.2 Gt/CO2 per year, rivaling modern input rates (~36 Gt/CO2 per year) (e.g., Schaller et al., 2011, 2012). The iconic Palisades Sill overlooking the Hudson River is a classic example of CAMP magmatism (e.g., Blackburn et al., 2013). Via some estimates (e.g., Marzoli et al., 1999; Olsen, 1999; McHone, 2002), CAMP lavas would have covered the conterminous United States with ~400 m of basalt (in other words, it was big). The rapid addition of CO2 to the atmosphere-ocean system makes the T-J interval a candidate for ocean acidification in deep time (Hautmann et al., 2008; Greene et al., 2012; Martindale et al., 2012). And, like many mass extinctions, the organic carbon cycle appears perturbed across the boundary, with a negative carbon isotope excursion recorded in many sections (e.g., Ward et al., 2001; Guex et al., 2012; Hesselbo et al., 2004; Williford et al., 2007)

The T-J interval includes one of the “big 5” mass extinctions, a critical transition for life on Earth (e.g., Raup and Sepkoski, 1982) (Figure 1). Notably, representatives of the fauna that inhabit today’s seas (the so-called modern fauna sensu Sepkoski, 1981), and animals living in reef and carbonate-dominated environments were preferentially negatively affected by the end Triassic mass extinction (Alroy, 2010; Kiessling and Simpson, 2011; Kiessling et al., 2007). Furthermore, it was the first major extinction experienced by scleractinian corals (Kiessling and Simpson, 2011; Kiessling et al., 2007) (Figure 1). Thus, the end-Triassic mass extinction is
especially relevant for understanding the present-day impact of rising CO₂ levels on the marine biosphere (Figure 1). The T-J interval, as used here, refers to the lead up to the extinction in the latest Norian and Rhaetian stages (the last two stages of the Triassic, respectively) through the Hettangian and early Sinemurian Stages (the first two stages of the Jurassic, respectively), where the extinction event horizon itself is in the latest Triassic (Rhaetian Stage).

Typically, the biotic response to mass extinction events is treated as a “numbers game”, where the loss of standing diversity is the focus, followed by some “recovery” in the number of taxa in the aftermath of the extinction. But what defines recovery? Simply focusing on a return to pre-extinction levels of diversity de-emphasizes potentially interesting and important alternate ecological states (e.g., Hull and Darroch 2013). As such, unraveling the marine paleoecology in the aftermath of the extinction has been a major focus of our recent studies. Here, we present some preliminary results from two of our major field areas—Nevada and Peru—to highlight the concept of an unexpected “alternate ecological state” in the aftermath of extinction.

UNEXPECTED POST-EXTINCTION ECOLOGY: SPONGES CLEAN UP IN THE EARLY JURASSIC OF NEVADA

In west central Nevada, the Gabbs and Sunrise Formations of the Gabbs Valley Range comprise an excellent, well-exposed Triassic – Jurassic shallow shelf depositional sequence (Figures 2 and 3). It was once in the running to become the global stratotype section and point (GSSP) (e.g., Lucas et al., 2007). The strata were deposited in a basin between the Sierran arc and the North American continent in eastern Panthalassa (Figure 1) (e.g., Stewart, 1980). Comprehensive mapping (e.g., Muller and Ferguson 1939), biostratigraphy (e.g., Guex et al., 2004), and paleoecology (e.g., Laws 1982; Taylor et al., 1983) set the stage for our studies.

The uppermost Triassic strata of the Mount Hyatt Member of the Gabbs Formation represent a typical, prolific Late Triassic carbonate ramp assemblage, including massive fossiliferous wackestones and thin-bedded mudstones (e.g., Laws 1982). The shift to siliciclastic-dominated sedimentation in the overlying Muller Canyon Member of the Gabbs Formation represents a collapse of the vibrant carbonate system in association with the mass extinction (e.g., Lucas et al., 2007). The shales, siltstones, and fine sandstones of the Muller Canyon Member contain the Triassic/Jurassic boundary. The lower to middle Muller Canyon Member has been previously interpreted to represent a regression (Laws, 1982), a transgression (Hallam and Wignall, 2000), or a transgression-regression couplet (Schoene et al., 2010). Recent macro-, meso-, and microscale facies analysis demonstrate...
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very little/subtle sedimentary change throughout the lower two thirds of the Muller Canyon Member. Laminated siltstones and rare very fine sandstones with low amplitude hummocky cross stratification indicate a position below fair-weather wave base/near storm wave base on the middle to inner shelf, similar to the underlying carbonate-dominated Mount Hyatt Member. Rather than recording a significant depth change, it appears that metazoan-dominated carbonates are simply not present in the Muller Canyon Member. Beds gradually increase in thickness in the upper Muller Canyon Member, until their transition to thin-bedded silty carbonates of the overlying carbonate-rich Sunrise Formation and the eventual return of carbonate ramp deposition (e.g., Lucas et al., 2007; Ritterbush et al., 2014).

After the last occurrence of the uppermost Triassic ammonite *C. crickmayi* in the Muller Canyon Member, shelly benthic fossil content drops dramatically, to essentially undetectable levels, marking the extinction event (Figures 2 and 3). This interval is also characterized by the initial negative carbon isotope excursion seen worldwide (Figures 2 and 3), and the subsequent 7 meters are considered the Extinction Interval (Figure 3). The base of the Jurassic is defined by the first appearance of the ammonite *P. spelae* (Guex et al., 2004), but the ecosystem had by no means recovered to a pre-extinction state. Rather, macroscopic benthic fossils remain rare and are not detected in thin section in most of the Jurassic Muller Canyon Member strata (~10 m, which we term the Depauperate Zone in Figures 2 and 3) (Ritterbush et al., 2014). While a slightly greater abundance of ammonoids is noted in the Depauperate Zone, benthic fossils are limited to isolated occurrences of rare *Modiolus* mussel clusters, small *Agerclamys* scallops, preserved primarily as casts without substantial shell material (see also Taylor et al., 1983; Hallam and Wignall, 2000; Ward et al., 2007; Taylor et al., 2007), and rare 4-6 cm-deep *Helminthoides* or individual *Rhizocorallium* burrows.

---

**Figure 2:** Photograph of Ferguson Hill, Muller Canyon, Nevada. Carbon isotope profile from Ward et al. (2007) (isotopic scale is given in Fig. 3), corrected for the presence of a fault. Note paleobiologist, for scale.
The main lower Jurassic ammonite diversification occurs near the base of the Ferguson Hill Member of the Sunrise Formation, indicating a major radiation of pelagic forms (e.g., Guex et al., 2004), and a more robust recovery in the pelagic realm. Interestingly, via correlation with radiometrically-dated successions (e.g., Peru; Guex et al., 2012), the radiation is nearly coincident with the cessation of CAMP volcanism (Blackburn et al., 2013); that is, the start of a more robust recovery did not occur until CAMP volcanism terminated. Shelly faunas, however, remain extremely depauperate, both in abundance and diversity, and the return of carbonate bedding is not accompanied by a dramatic increase in macroscopic shell content as might be expected, a finding paralleled at the microscopic level (Ritterbush et al., 2014).

Unexpectedly, the next 40 meters of strata, representing the remaining Hettangian Stage and the lower part of the Sinemurian Stage, are replete with sponge spicules (Ritterbush et al., 2014), first via 20 m of abundant transported siliceous sponge spicules, next via spicule-filled burrows, and finally via pure spiculite including in situ siliceous sponges (Ritterbush et al., 2014) (Figure 3 C, D). For the first time since the extinction horizon, metazoans become a major constituent in the sedimentary record, potentially indicating a significant recovery in the benthic realm. But rather than carbonate producers, it is siliceous demosponges that take over the shelf, reflecting an interesting alternative-ecosystem-state in the aftermath of extinction. Styles (simple spicules), dichotriaenes (complex...
spicules), and desmid spicules (highly mineralized spicules) of astrophorid demosponges dominate the spiculites. Abundant carbonate storm beds in the cherty interval support a middle shelf depositional environment and blanket in situ sponges in some cases. These sedimentological observations indicate that carbonate sedimentation was present, but sponges—silica, not carbonate—constituted the major metazoan contribution to sediments. The remaining strata record an abrupt shift to carbonate-dominated bioclastic wackestones, packstones, and ooid-rich grainstones, heralding the return of a robust carbonate ramp, including a return of corals, bivalves, and gastropods (Figure 3B), marking the next step in the recovery of the ecosystem from the end-Triassic extinction.

**A GLOBAL SPONGE TAKEOVER?**

Sponge hegemony characterizes the early Jurassic of Nevada, but is the takeover a local phenomenon or does it have global significance? Previous publications hinted that sponge deposits existed in the Pucará Group, Peru (Szekely and Grose, 1972; Rosas et al., 2007). Our recent work with collaborator Silvia Rosas demonstrates that, like Nevada, shallow water facies of the T-J Aramachay Formation, Pucará Group, Peru, record abundant spiculites, spiculite filled burrows, and in situ sponge body fossils (Ritterbush et al., 2015). In fact, some strata of the Aramachay Formation (Figure 4) appear nearly identical to their counterparts in Nevada. The Hettangian sponge phenomenon extends throughout Europe (Austria, France), as well as Morocco (e.g., Delecat et al., 2010; Neuweiler et al., 2001). Many of the European localities represent deeper paleoenvironments, so the siliceous sponge accumulations may not have been considered unusual, given the modern distribution of siliceous sponges in the deep whereas they are more remarkable in the stratigraphically expanded shallow water occurrences in Nevada and Peru.

**WHY SPONGES?**

During recoveries from global mass extinctions, ecological complexity is expected to expand through a succession of trophic levels (e.g., Sole et al., 2002), but novel scenarios may emerge via chance ecological or environmental opportunities (e.g., Hull and Darroch, 2013). We hypothesize that the T-J “sponge takeover” resulted from the unique confluence of ecological and environmental circumstances in the aftermath of the end-Triassic mass extinction. Ecologically, the decimation of previously dominant calcifiers during the Triassic-Jurassic transition likely eliminated incumbency challenges to sponges settling across the shelf. In modern reef settings, it is not uncommon for sponges to initially colonize areas vacated by corals, only to have the corals retake the real estate on ecological time scales. The full two million year occupation, however, is a geologic-scale event, and most models of ocean acidification, which would suppress the carbonate producers, last perhaps tens of thousands of years, not millions (e.g., Hönnisch et al., 2012); mere ecological patterns do not offer a satisfying explanation without input from the broader environment.

Silica constitutes a major nutrient for silica sponges, and may hold some of the answers for the duration and timing of the T-J sponge event. The rifting of Pangea and eruption of CAMP is likely to have affected silica supply by increasing weathering fluxes, the primary silica source to the oceans. Increases in atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ would have intensified silicate weathering delivering additional silica to the oceans (e.g., Berner and Beerling, 2007; Schaller et al., 2011). Presence of the CAMP basalts should have further increased weathering fluxes of silica; weathering is faster on fresh rock, and is observed to be five times faster on basalts compared to granites (West et al., 2011).

The types of spicules produced by sponges, and the rates of silica uptake, depend on silica concentration (Maldonado et al., 1999; Reincke and Berthel, 1997) and may provide further evidence for enhanced silica supply during the sponge takeover. Desma spicules, which are more robust and can interlock, are ubiquitous in the Nevada and Peru Early Jurassic deposits (Ritterbush et al., 2015;...
2015). Desmas are produced by a broad variety of sponges if silica concentration is sufficient (e.g., 30-100 μm; Maldonado et al., 1999), and thus may constitute evidence for elevated silica concentrations during the sponge takeover. A box model investigating the T-J silica budget provided in Ritterbush et al. (2015) is also consistent with the aforementioned scenario. Thus, the sponge event likely originated from a cascade of events following the rifting of Pangea and eruption of CAMP: CAMP CO₂ initially caused ocean acidification, suppressing the incumbent coral reefs, then, on a longer time scale, silicate weathering provided the limiting nutrient, silica, that allowed the sponges to take hold for upwards of 2 million years. The coincidence of the ecological and geochemical circumstances demonstrates why such an event is best investigated from an Earth-Life Transitions perspective.

FROM THE T-J LOOKING FORWARD

Our preliminary results from the T-J suggest that, with increased pCO₂ in the atmosphere, the potential for ocean acidification exists, silicate weathering should increase, and the flux of silica and alkalinity to the oceans should increase. Will we be faced with another sponge takeover in our future? Ocean acidification is measurable today as CO₂ builds in the atmosphere and equilibrates with the ocean—the lesson from the T-J regarding the possible effects on coral reefs (that is, they could crash) should be heeded. However, we suspect the Earth today is not headed for another sponge event, for several obvious reasons. The silica cycle is different today, predominantly controlled by diatom abundance and distribution, versus the T-J interval, which preceded the origination of diatoms. Also, CAMP would have provided an abundance of fresh basalt ripe for weathering, a situation not present in today’s Earth system. Nonetheless, though we do not expect a sponge takeover, the T-J example might indicate the ramifications of providing an abundant supply of a limiting nutrient to diatoms as a result of increased weathering in a warmer climate must be considered as a potential marine consequence of anthropogenic CO₂ release, in addition to the deleterious effects of increased pCO₂ on coral reefs. More generally, the T-J sponge takeover represents an excellent example of “alternative ecological states” perhaps not predicted via the typical actualistic view of the Earth system, and a manifestation of the law of unintended consequences.
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First, let me thank you for electing me to serve as SEPM President. It is indeed my honor to serve SEPM, and to help an outstanding organization that has been important for so many of our careers and that remains the leading purveyor of sedimentological, stratigraphic and paleontological ideas, examples, and data. As part of serving as president of SEPM I have discrational funds for a special “Presidential Project” and I thought I would take the opportunity in this newsletter to let you know about it.

Recently, SEPM took over management and publication of the STRATA website, originally built by Dr. Chris Kendall at the University of South Carolina. It is Chris's intention to step down as chief editor, and my Presidential project is to keep STRATA vital with a strong editorial board that will ensure continuity as SEPM takes greater editorial control. The STRATA editorial board will be analogous to our journal editorial boards, with a chief editor (we may use the model of having this position shared among two or several discipline-specific co-editors) and a larger group of associate editors. The first task of the editorial board will be to review the website, identify areas that need revision, identify new modules that should be added, and any areas that need deletion or archiving. At that point, we will be soliciting for new contributions, and these may be in areas of critical need, such as clastic petrography and diagenesis. Chris has already begun much of the work, but I believe we need a more concerted effort from SEPM and our community to enhance this website and ensure its longevity. A focused and dedicated editorial board will accomplish this. We have had some preliminary meetings and I have had a number of discussions with Chris, including at this year’s ACE in Denver, and we will be planning a workshop with the editorial board in early 2016.

SEPM is also in the midst of a significant redesign of our website, and this is largely through the effort of our newly-created Web and Technology Councilor position. Past-councilor Brian Romans and present councilor Jason Mintz have done an outstanding job of reviewing our SEPM Website and developing substantive recommendations for revisions that we hope to showcase soon. STRATA will also benefit from the web re-design.

I would like to end my inaugural letter by mentioning a few additional initiatives. SEPM has just signed an MOU with the International Association of Sedimentologists (IAS), who are keen to partner more closely with SEPM. SEPM and the sedimentary geology program continues to have a greater presence at GSA (thanks to Chris Fielding, who initiated that some years ago) and we are beginning to sponsor more sessions at AGU. To that end, SEPM continues to evaluate our relationship with AAPG. As a long time member of both AAPG and SEPM, I see continued value in having strong ties to AAPG, and Kitty, Howard and I have been working, mostly behind the scenes, to improve our relationship with AAPG, especially regarding how we are represented with regard to promoting the Annual AAPG Convention and Exhibition, as well more equitable revenue sharing. We like to remind our AAPG colleagues that SEPM’s effort routinely contributes close to 50% of the technical program offered at the Annual Convention. We hope that that level of engagement continues and that SEPM will continue to be the primary vehicle for purveying the latest research as well as synthesis and teaching about our science through our conferences, journals, newsletters, and the STRATA website.

Janok Bhattacharya, SEPM President
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SEPM - AAPG Research Conference

Mudstone Diagenesis

Implications for Exploration and Development of Unconventional Reservoirs

LOCATION: Sante Fe, NM  Date: October 16-19, 2016

This conference will promote the exchange of new ideas among the leading experts from industry, academia, and government on the controls and impacts of inorganic and organic diagenesis on mudstone hydrocarbon generation, reservoir properties and seal quality.

Major Themes

- Starting Materials: recent sediments and thermally immature rocks
- Mechanical Diagenesis: compaction, fluid expulsion and fracturing
- Inorganic Chemical Diagenesis: porosity and mechanical property evolution
- Organic Diagenesis: organic matter-rock interactions during petroleum generation
- Tools and Techniques: new advances and limitations
- Organic Matter: bridging the gap between optical & electron microscopic observations

Conveners:

Wayne Camp (Andarko), Neil Fishman (Hess),
Paul Hackley (USGS), Kitty Milliken (BEG—UT Austin)
& Joe Macquaker (ExxonMobil)

Email: wayne.camp@anadarko.com
Inaugural Mountjoy Meeting
Advances in Characterization and Modeling of Complex Carbonate Reservoirs

Conference Program Overview
Sunday - August 23rd:
Arrive in Banff, late afternoon Icebreaker
Monday and Tuesday - August 24th and 25th:
Technical Presentations (oral and poster)
Wednesday - August 26th:
Choose from a range of local field trips in the Rocky Mountains
Thursday - August 27th:
Technical Presentations (oral)
Friday - August 28th:
In Calgary, a Core Workshop with several presentations at the AER Core Research Centre or
Friday and Saturday - August 28th and 29th:
Post-Meeting Field Trip in the Rockies
Details & Registration at www.cspg.org

Second Circular - Technical Program
Banff, Alberta
August 23-28, 2015
SEPM Announces Science Medalists for 2016

The awardees will be honored at a reception in the spring of the 2016 at the Society’s Annual Meeting, held in conjunction with the AAPG ACE, scheduled for Calgary, Canada.

The 2016 awards are:

**Twenhofel Medal** for a career of excellence in sedimentary geology (SEPM’s highest honor):
Ronald J. Steel (rsteel@jsg.utexas.edu), The University of Texas at Austin.

**Moore Medal** for outstanding contributions in paleontology:
Anna Kay Behrensmeyer (behrensa@si.edu), Smithsonian Institution.

**Pettijohn Medal** for outstanding contributions in sedimentology and stratigraphy:
V. Paul Wright (v.vpw@btopenworld.com), PW Carbonate Geoscience.

**Shepard Medal** for outstanding contributions in the area of marine geology:
James Syvitski (james.syvitski@colorado.edu), University of Colorado.

**Honorary Membership** awarded for outstanding contributions to science and the Society:
Christopher Fielding (cfielding2@unl.edu), University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

**Wilson Medal** for outstanding contributions in sedimentary geology by an early career geologist:
Stephen Meyers (smeyers@geology.wisc.edu), University of Wisconsin.
UPCOMING SEPM RESEARCH CONFERENCES


“Mudstone Diagenesis” Conference (with AAPG) set for October 16-19, 2016 in Santa Fe, NM. This conference is focusing on fine grained rocks and their properties. Abstract Submission will be open soon. Conveners: Wayne Camp, Neil Fishman, Paul Hackley, Kitty Milliken and Joe Macquaker.

“Oceanic Anoxic Events” Conference is being planned for November 2-7, 2016 in Austin, TX. Examining the features and resources of OAE’s. Conveners: Rob Forkner, Charles Kerans, Benjamin Gill and Gianluca Frijia.

“Multi-scale analysis of deep-water depositional systems; insights from bathymetric, shallow seismic and outcrop data” to be held in the Karoo Basin area of South Africa in May, 2017, in Cape Town area, South Africa. Conveners: Steve Flint, Steve Hubbard, Christopher Aiden-Lee Jackson, and Bradford Prather.

“Propagation of Environmental Signals within Source-to-Sink Stratigraphy” to be held in Ainsa, Spain. Looking at the propagation of sediment-flux signals in the stratigraphic record of correlative segments of source-to-sink sedimentary systems. Conveners: Sébastien Castelltort (University of Geneva), Cai Puigdefabregas (University of Barcelona), Julian Clark (Statoil) and Andrea Fildani (Statoil).

If you are considering a research conference within the realm of sedimentary geology be sure to consider working or partnering with SEPM Society for Sedimentary Geology.
High impact journals

- **Journal of Sedimentary Research** – First published in 1931, JSR is the oldest earth science journal dedicated to the field of sedimentology. The journal is broad and international in scope and welcomes contributions that further the fundamental understanding of sedimentary processes, the origin of sedimentary deposits, the workings of sedimentary systems, and the records of earth history contained within sedimentary rocks.

- **PALAIOS** - Founded in 1986, PALAIOS is dedicated to emphasizing the impact of life on Earth’s history as recorded in the paleontological, sedimentologic and stratigraphic records. PALAIOS is the journal of choice in which to publish innovative research on all aspects of past and present life from which geological, biological, chemical, and atmospheric processes can be deciphered and applied to finding solutions to past and future geological and paleontological problems.

✓ Online submission and well recognized peer review process
✓ Journals use ‘continuous publishing’ – each article is published online ready – no waiting for full issues.
✓ Journals are online (www.sepmonline.org, GeoScienceWorld, BioOne, JSTOR, DataPages)
✓ Journals have no backlog
✓ Journals are hybrids and have both Gold and Green Open Access options as well as traditional no-author-payment required option.

Renown Book Series

SEPM Books are made available in print and digital format (online, CD, DVD, USB media)

**SEPM Special Publications (the Red Books)** – collections of peer reviewed articles by both eminent and new researchers on focused topics with over 105 volumes published.

✓ Online submission and peer review process
✓ Online First Publication – where each article/chapter is published when ready online, no waiting for the entire book to be published
✓ Included in Web of Science, SCOPUS, GEOREF

**SEPM Concepts in Sedimentology and Paleontology** – upper level text books on focused topics usually written by only a few authors.

**Miscellaneous Books** – SEPM is always open to new and original ways to publish sedimentary geology content in book format.

Go to www.sepm.org – Publications for more details.