

Student Name:	Date:		
<u>Instructions:</u> Each committee member independently r	rates the student's perform	mance in each of the 5 areas as acceptable or	
unacceptable. Of the 20 judgments (4 committee men	mbers × 5 areas), 6 una	acceptable ratings (up to no more than three	
unacceptable ratings from any one committee member)	constitute a failure. In th	e case of failure, the committee will provide a	
summary letter that describes the concerns of the comm	nittee and measures need	ed to correct these deficiencies to the student	
and the Graduate Advisor within one month of the committee meeting.			
1. Motivation:	Acceptable	Unacceptable	
2. Logical/critical thinking:	Acceptable	Unacceptable	
3. Ability to develop a testable hypothesis			
and design scientific studies:	Acceptable	Unacceptable	
4. General knowledge:	Acceptable	Unacceptable	
5. Ability to communicate:	Acceptable	Unacceptable	
Name of Committee Member:			
Signature of Committee Member:			



Student Na	me:	Date:	
<u>Instructions:</u> Each committee member independently rates the student's performance in each of the 5 areas as acceptable or unacceptable. Of the 20 judgments (4 committee members × 5 areas), 6 unacceptable ratings (up to no more than three unacceptable ratings from any one committee member) constitute a failure. In the case of failure, the committee will provide a summary letter that describes the concerns of the committee and measures needed to correct these deficiencies to the student and the Graduate Advisor within one month of the committee meeting.			
 1. 2. 3. 	Motivation: Logical/critical thinking: Ability to develop a testable hypothesis and design scientific studies:	Acceptable Acceptable	Unacceptable Unacceptable Unacceptable
4.5.	General knowledge: Ability to communicate:	Acceptable	Unacceptable
	of Committee Member: ure of Committee Member:		



Student Na	me:	Date:		
Instructions	s: Each committee member independently	rates the student's perfor	mance in each of the 5 areas as acceptable or	
unacceptable. Of the 20 judgments (4 committee members × 5 areas), 6 unacceptable ratings (up to no more than three				
unacceptable ratings from any one committee member) constitute a failure. In the case of failure, the committee will provide a				
summary letter that describes the concerns of the committee and measures needed to correct these deficiencies to the student				
and the Gra	aduate Advisor within one month of the com	mittee meeting.		
1.	Motivation:	Acceptable	Unacceptable	
2.	Logical/critical thinking:	Acceptable	Unacceptable	
3.	Ability to develop a testable hypothesis			
	and design scientific studies:	Acceptable	Unacceptable	
4.	General knowledge:	Acceptable	Unacceptable	
5.	Ability to communicate:	Acceptable	Unacceptable	
Name o	of Committee Member:			
Signatu	ure of Committee Member:			

Student Na	me:	Date:	
Instructions: Each committee member independently rates the student's performance in each of the 5 areas as acceptable or unacceptable. Of the 20 judgments (4 committee members × 5 areas), 6 unacceptable ratings (up to no more than three unacceptable ratings from any one committee member) constitute a failure. In the case of failure, the committee will provide a summary letter that describes the concerns of the committee and measures needed to correct these deficiencies to the student and the Graduate Advisor within one month of the committee meeting.			
 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 	Motivation: Logical/critical thinking: Ability to develop a testable hypothesis and design scientific studies: General knowledge: Ability to communicate:	Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable	Unacceptable Unacceptable Unacceptable Unacceptable Unacceptable



Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Ph.D. Program (EEB) First Year Graduate Student Assessment

Committee Chair Report

Student Name:	Date:
Total number of Acceptables:	
Total number of Unacceptables:	
Summary and recommendation of the committee:	
Signature of Committee Chair:	
Signature of Student:	